

Detailed Regulations to the Ethical Rule

CHINA AND WTO REVIEW

Article 1 (Principle)

Authors submitting papers to «China and WTO Review» shall obey the standard norms of academic ethics and follow the Ethics Regulations provided by the Review.

Article 2 (Violations)

Paragraph 1

“Cheating” is using unauthorized notes, study aids, or information on an examination.

Paragraph 2

“Fabrication” is falsifying or inventing any information, data or citation.

Paragraph 3

“Plagiarism” is submitting material that in part or whole is not entirely one's own work without attributing the correct source.

Paragraph 4

“Obtaining an unfair advantage” is stealing, reproducing, circulating or otherwise gaining access to examination materials prior to the time authorized by the instructor; and otherwise, undertaking activity with the purpose of creating or obtaining an unfair academic advantage over others' academic work.

Paragraph 5

“Aiding and Abetting Academic Dishonesty” is providing material, information, or other assistance to another person with knowledge that such aid could be used in any of the violations stated above, or providing false information in connection with any inquiry regarding academic integrity.

Paragraph 6

“Falsification of Records and Official Documents” is altering documents affecting academic records; forging signatures of authorization or falsifying information on an official academic document.

Paragraph 7

“Unauthorized Access to computerized records” is viewing or altering computer records, modifying computer programs or systems, releasing or dispensing information gained via unauthorized access, or interfering with the use or availability of computer systems or information.

Paragraph 8

"Citation Error" is to unintentionally omit or make a typographical error in relation to the source by the author. It shall be constituted under the condition of “Fair Use.” Moreover, no intention to disturb the academic society should be clearly revealed.

Article 3 (Notification)

The Ethics Regulations provided by this Journal shall be posted on its web page and noticed to the authors before screening.

Article 4 (Ethics Committee)

In case of an alleged violation against the Ethics Regulations by a paper, the Journal shall form an Ethics Committee (Committee) composed by 3-5 editorial board members including editor-in-chief.

Article 5 (Request for Evidence)

In case of the preceding paragraph, the Committee may request further evidential materials regarding the alleged violation of the author, the informant, or testifier if necessary. The author shall submit the evidential materials when requested.

Article 6 (Guarantee of Secrecy)

The identity of the informant must completely remain in absolute confidentiality. The

Committee must not include the name of the informant in the inspection result report without urgent necessity.

Article 7 (Opportunity to Defend)

The Committee shall give the author opportunity to state opinion, make objection and conduct defense.

Article 8 (Determination)

The fact of violation shall be determined by the Ethics Committee based on the inspection results and the defense delivered by the author. The Ethics Committee must notify the outcome to the author and the informant. The Committee determines the fact by two-thirds of the votes if it fails to reach a unanimous decision.

Article 9 (Objection)

In case of the preceding Article, the author may submit an application of disobedience by letter within 10 days from the day of determination if he or she wishes to make objection to the decision of the Committee.

Article 10 (Sanction)

The paper which is determined by the Committee to breach the Ethics Regulation must be deleted from the deliberation list immediately and the journal shall notify this to the author. Yet, if the violation is minor or unintentional, the Journal may request for modification instead of retraction. Already published paper must be revoked and the revocation shall be noticed on the upcoming volume of the Journal. Further papers submitted by the reprimanded author shall be excluded from examination for the purpose of publishing for the next five years.

Article 11 (Miscellaneous)

Matters not mentioned by this regulation shall be decided by the *consuetude* of academic society and socially accepted rules.